

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action dated April 30, 2008, a formal objection was raised on grounds that the prior response had indicated the cancelled claims as 1-53 when it should have read 1-52. Also, in the Office Action, only independent claim 53 was rejected on ground of non-statutory, obviousness-type double patenting over claims 29, 34 and 35 of United States Patent No. 6,685,733. No other objections or grounds for rejection were stated in the Office Action.

In the listing of claims set forth above, the cancelled claims are correctly shown and Nos. 1-52.

Also, enclosed herewith is a Terminal Disclaimer To Obviate A Double Patenting Rejection Over A "Prior" Patent, which overcomes the stated rejection of claim 53 and the required fee.

Thus, all claims 53-69 are believed to be in condition for allowance. Issuance of a Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

July 30, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert D. Buyan/

Robert D. Buyan
Registration No. 32,460

STOUT, UXA, BUYAN & MULLINS, LLP
4 Venture, Suite 300
Irvine, CA 92513
Telephone: (949)450-1750
Facsimile: (949)450-1764